

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/089,270		06/24/2002	Daniel Charquet	12093/888	4703	
26646	7590	10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYO ONE BRO	N & KEN DADWAY	YON		MORILLO, JANELL COMBS		
NEW YO	RK, NY	10004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1742		
				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(-
Office Action Commence	10/089,270	CHARQUET ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl. . reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication (JDONED (35 U.S.C. 8.133)	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 August 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		s, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	I(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· 4) 🔲 Interview Sumr	nary (PTO-413)	
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tendemark Office			

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 10-11, and 13-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,361 B1 (US'361). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of US'361 teach a Zr alloy with 0.8-1.3% Nb, 500-2000 ppm O, 5-35 ppm S, less than 0.25% Fe+Cr+V, less than 300 ppm Sn, which overlaps the instant alloy compositional ranges. The alloying ranges of US'361 overlap the presently claimed ratio of (Nb-0.5)/(Fe+Cr+V). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in the prior art, because the prior art finds that said composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility.

#### Reasons For Allowance

3. Claims 10, 11, 13-18 are allowable over the prior art of record (but remain rejected under Obviousness-type double patenting, as stated above).

Application/Control Number: 10/089,270

Art Unit: 1742

4. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the closest prior art, WO 93/16205 or FR 2,769,637, do not teach or suggest a Zr alloy with the presently claimed alloying ranges, complete with a ratio of (Nb-0.5)/(Fe+Cr+V)>2.5, substantially as presently claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/089,270

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

October 18, 2004